

RANDALL S. LUSKEY (SBN: 240915)
rluskey@paulweiss.com
**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON
& GARRISON LLP**
535 Mission Street, 24th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (628) 432-5100
Facsimile: (628) 232-3101

ROBERT ATKINS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
ratkins@paulweiss.com
CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
cgrusauskas@paulweiss.com
ANDREA M. KELLER (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
akeller@paulweiss.com
**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON
& GARRISON LLP**
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 373-3000
Facsimile: (212) 757-3990

Attorneys for Defendants
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
RASIER, LLC; and RASIER-CA, LLC

[Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084 CRB

Judge: Honorable Charles Breyer

This Document Relates to:

*Brianna Craig v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al.*
Case No.: 3:23-cv-05932-CRB

**DECLARATION M. SALCEDO IN
SUPPORT OF STIPULATION
EXTENDING TIME FOR THIRD-PARTY
PLAINTIFFS UBER TECHNOLOGIES,
INC., RAISER, LLC, AND RAISER-CA,
LLC TO RESPOND TO THIRD-PARTY
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL
ARBITRATION AND STAY THE CASE**

I, Maria Salcedo, declare as follows:

1. I am a partner at the law firm of Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P., attorneys of record for Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs, Uber Technologies, Rasier LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC, ("Third-

DECLARATION OF M. SALCEDO IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSE
TO THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION Case No. 3:23-cv-05932-CRB

1 Party Plaintiffs”). I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Missouri and the Bar of
2 the State of Florida, and I am admitted pro hac vice in this matter. I know the following facts to be
3 true of my own knowledge, except those matters stated to be based on information and belief, and if
4 called to testify, I could competently do so.

5 2. I respectfully submit this declaration in support of the accompanying Stipulation
6 Extending Third-Party Plaintiffs’ Time to Respond to Third-Party Defendant’s Motion to Compel
7 Arbitration.

8 3. On December 18, 2024, Defendants/Third-Party Plaintiffs Uber Technologies, Inc.,
9 Raiser, LLC, and Raiser-CA, LLC (collectively, “Uber”) filed their Third-Party Complaint against
10 Third-Party Defendant Syd Syed. (ECF 8). In response, on January 14, 2025, Third-Party Defendant
11 filed a Motion to Compel Arbitration of the Third-Party Complaint and Stay the Action. (ECF 11).
12 Pursuant to Local Rule 7-3, Uber was required to file its opposition by January 28, 2025. The hearing
13 on Third-Party Defendant’s Motion to Compel Arbitration was originally scheduled for February 28,
14 2025.

15 4. On January 28, 2025, this Court entered a stipulation by the parties that: the January
16 28, 2025, deadline for Third-Party Plaintiffs to respond to Third-Party Defendant’s Motion to Compel
17 Arbitration be extended to March 14, 2025, and Third-Party Defendant’s deadline to file a Reply in
18 support of his Motion to Compel Arbitration be extended to March 21, 2025. The hearing on Third-
19 Party Defendant’s Motion to Compel Arbitration also was moved from February 28 to April 11, 2025
20 at 10:00 a.m. (ECF 15).

21 5. On March 12 and 14, counsel for both parties met and conferred regarding the timing
22 of Uber’s Response to Third-Party Defendant’s Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay the Case.

23 6. The parties agreed, Uber should be permitted a brief eleven-day extension of the March
24 14, 2025, deadline to respond to Third-Party Defendant’s Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay the
25 Case.

26 7. Specifically, the parties have agreed that Uber may have until March 25, 2025 to
27 respond to Third-Party Defendant’s Motion to Compel Arbitration. This also would extend Third-
28

1 Party Defendant's deadline to file a reply to April 8, 2025.

2 8. The parties have also agreed to move the hearing from April 11 to May 2, 2025.

3 9. This extension of time is necessary due to the complex issues raised in Third-Party
4 Defendant's Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay the Case.

5 10. There has only been the one aforementioned time modification in this case.

6 11. The extension of time will not affect the case because Third-Party Defendant has agreed
7 to move the hearing date on his Motion to Compel Arbitration.

8 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 14th
9 day of March 2025, in Kansas City, Missouri.

10
11 /s/ Maria Salcedo

12 Mari Salcedo

13 (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

14 msalcedo@shb.com

15 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

16 2555 Grand Blvd.

17 Kansas City, MO 64108

18 Telephone: (816) 474-6550

19 Facsimile: (816) 421-5547

20 Attorney for Defendants

21 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

22 RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC
23
24
25
26
27
28